Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,091	KOJIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig A. Renner	2627
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Chun-Pok Leung, Attorney For Applicants.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 25 September 2006	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Includes the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.		
Claims discussed: Includes claims 5, 11-16, 18-19 and 23-27.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: By examiner's amendment, the title was amended, clarifying language was incorporated into claim 5, and non-elected claims 11-16, 18-19 and 23-27 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writted examiner will provide a writted example of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Chief. Rom N/A		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		